

(C) such institution is legally authorized within a State to provide education beyond secondary education.

(2) The Secretary shall determine whether to recertify any institution that meets the requirements of paragraph (1) within 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(3) Paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be effective on and after July 23, 1992.

SEC. 3. PACIFIC REGIONAL EDUCATIONAL LABORATORY.

Section 101A of the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act (20 U.S.C. 2311a) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1) of subsection (b)—

(A) by striking "Center for the Advancement of Pacific Education, Honolulu, Hawaii, or its successor entity as the Pacific regional educational laboratory" and inserting "Pacific Regional Educational Laboratory, Honolulu, Hawaii"; and

(B) by inserting "or provide direct services regarding" after "grants for"; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking "Center for the Advancement of Pacific Education" and inserting "Pacific Regional Educational Laboratory, Honolulu, Hawaii".

SEC. 4. DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS TO POST-SECONDARY AND ADULT PROGRAMS.

Section 232 of the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act (20 U.S.C. 2341a) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the first sentence—

(i) by inserting "(1)" before "Except"; and

(ii) by inserting "or consortia thereof" before "within"; and

(B) in the second sentence—

(i) by inserting "or consortium" before "shall"; and

(ii) by inserting "or consortium" before "in the preceding";

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) In order for a consortium of eligible institutions described in paragraph (1) to receive assistance pursuant to such paragraph such consortium shall operate joint projects that—

"(A) provide services to all postsecondary institutions participating in the consortium; and

"(B) are of sufficient size, scope and quality as to be effective.";

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting "or consortia" after "institutions"; and

(B) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2), by inserting "or consortia" after "institutions"; and

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting "or consortium" after "institution"; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting "or consortia" after "institutions".

SEC. 5. EFFECTIVE DATES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided therein or in subsection (b) of this section, the amendments made by section 2 of this Act shall be effective as if such amendments were included in the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (Public Law 102-325), except that section 492 of the Act shall not apply to the amendments made by this Act.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) EFFECTIVE ON OCTOBER 1, 1993.—The amendments made by the following subsections of section 2 of this Act shall be effective on and after October 1, 1993: (b)(29), (j)(28), (j)(36), and (j)(40).

(2) EFFECTIVE ON DATE OF ENACTMENT.—The amendments made by the following subsections of section 2 of this Act shall be effective on and after the date of enactment of this Act: (b)(2), (b)(7), (b)(28), (c)(3), (c)(5), (c)(13)(B), (c)(13)(C), (c)(18), (c)(30), (c)(62).

(3) EFFECTIVE 30 DAYS AFTER ENACTMENT.—The amendments made by the following subsections of section 2 of this Act shall be effective on and after 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act: (c)(19), (c)(20), (c)(21), (c)(59).

(4) EFFECTIVE 60 DAYS AFTER ENACTMENT.—The amendments made by the following subsections of section 2 of this Act shall be effective on and after 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act: (c)(31) and (c)(53).

(5) EFFECTIVE ON APRIL 1, 1994.—The amendments made by section 2(c)(43)(B) of this Act shall be effective on and after April 1, 1994.

(6) EFFECTIVE ON JULY 1, 1994.—The amendments made by the following subsection of section 2 of this Act shall be effective on and after July 1, 1994: (b)(25), (c)(2), (c)(13)(A), (c)(29).

(7) COHORT DEFAULT DATA EXAMINATIONS.—The amendment made by section 2(c)(60)(A) shall be effective on and after October 1, 1994.

(8) COHORT DEFAULT RATE DETERMINATIONS.—The amendments made to subsection (a)(3) and (m)(1)(B) of section 435 of this Act shall apply with respect to the determination (and appeals from determinations) of cohort default rates for fiscal year 1989 and any succeeding fiscal year.

On motion of Mr. FORD of Michigan, said Senate amendment to the House amendments was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said Senate amendment to the House amendments was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

¶138.36 D.C. STATEHOOD

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, pursuant to House Resolution 316 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 51) to provide for the admission of the State of New Columbia into the Union.

Pending which,

¶138.37 POINT OF ORDER

Mr. SOLOMON made a point of order against the consideration of said bill, and said:

"Mr. Speaker, at this point I would make a point of order against the consideration of H.R. 51 on the grounds that it is in violation of House rule XIII, clause 7, as well as section 308(a) of the Budget Act.

"Mr. Speaker, House Rule XIII, clause 7(a) requires that the committee report to accompany any bill and I quote—

Shall contain an estimate made by such committee of the costs which would be incurred in carrying out such bill or joint resolution in the fiscal year in which it is reported and in each of the 5 fiscal years following such fiscal year

"And clause 7(b) of that rule says, and I quote,

It shall not be in order to consider any such bill or joint resolution in the House if the report of the committee which reported that bill or joint resolution does not comply with paragraph (a) of this clause.

"Mr. Speaker, the report to accompany H.R. 51, House Report 103-371, at

page 22, notes that a CBO cost estimate, and I quote, 'was not received by the Committee from the Director of the Congressional Office prior to the filing of this report.'

"The report goes on to state that, 'pursuant to clause 7 of rule XIII, the Committee notes that the provisions of H.R. 51 impacting on revenues and expenditures do not differ markedly from those of H.R. 4718 in the 102nd Congress.'

"And the report goes on to incorporate that 1992 cost estimate as the committee cost estimate at pages 22 through page 26.

"However, Mr. Speaker, this does not satisfy the requirements of clause 7(a) of rule XIII since the CBO cost estimate does not contain the required cost of the bill for the fiscal year in which it has been reported—fiscal year 1994—and in each of the 5 fiscal years following such fiscal year . . .

"For the report to be in compliance with the requirements of clause 7(a) of rule XIII, there must be a clearly delineated breakdown of the estimated costs for each of the fiscal years 1994 through 1999.

"Nowhere in this report is there such a breakdown.

"Mr. Speaker, since the rule providing for the consideration of the bill does not waive points of order anywhere in this rule, in its consideration, this point of order is in order pursuant to clause 7(b) of rule XIII; and, Mr. Speaker, I also make a point of order that the report violates section 308(a), as I mentioned earlier, of the Budget Act, which requires certain cost estimates, including section 402 to be direct spending costs. The CBO report, at page 26, only contains the PAYGO estimates through fiscal year 1995. But this year we extended the requirements of PAYGO through fiscal year 2002.

"I therefore urge that my point of order be sustained, Mr. Speaker."

Mr. STARK was recognized to speak to the point of order and said:

"Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the point of order.

"A review of the full text of the CBO estimate on page 22 to 26 of House Report 103-371 clearly indicates that it covers the five years required by the rule, and much beyond.

"For example, on page 22, the cost to the Federal Government of administering the federal enclave is \$40 million annually; that is an indefinite period extending beyond the five years of the rule.

"Similarly, Mr. Speaker, other estimates are recurring, as follows:

"Congressional representation is \$3 million a year, page 23.

"Justice services, \$45 million a year.

"Finally, Mr. Speaker, if you look at the chart on page 26 of the report, you will note that the net cost to the government for every year is zero—costs are offset by savings.

"Thus, the committee report complies fully with the rule."